
### **Border to Coast UK listed Equity Fund**





# Period: July 01, 2022 - September 30, 2022

467	Number of meetings	31
435	With management	435
0	Against management	32
0		
32		
0		
467	Total	467
	435 0 0 32 0	<ul> <li>435 With management</li> <li>0 Against management</li> <li>0</li> <li>32</li> <li>0</li> </ul>

In 59% of meetings we have cast one or more votes against management recommendation.

## **General Highlights**

### Increased scrutiny on Board Elections

Board elections, the process in which investors have the right to elect directors to the company's Board of Directors during shareholder meetings, have consistently been one of the fundamental aspects of corporate governance. Corporate boards are responsible for sufficient oversight and can act as a sounding board for management by providing insights and foresight on directors' relevant fields of expertise. Good corporate governance is defined by distinct responsibilities between executive and non-executive directors, with board committees delving into specific matters that require more time and resources. Global best practice requires corporate boards to have sufficient independence levels, both overall and within separate board committees, while safeguarding a relevant and diversified set of skills, expertise, and experience amongst directors to reflect all stakeholders' perspectives.

Historically, there has not been much scrutiny around the election of board directors. Especially not in the absence of a proxy contest or dedicated campaign to vote Against certain directors. Often investors went along with management's recommendations as the majority of board elections are considered routine items at companies' annual general meetings (AGMs). However, over the past years we have witnessed a rise in interest from the public as to how investors use their voting rights, which along with other trends resulted in increased scrutiny from shareholders regarding board elections. First of all, this means investors are increasingly demanding the possibility to hold individual directors accountable. This is for instance not possible in the case of a slate election method, where board directors are jointly put forward in one list (a slate). Secondly, investors continue to prefer the ability to re-elect directors on an annual basis, which is not the case when the election frequency is set to more than one year or when a board is staggered, meaning that only a rotating part of the board is eligible for (re-)election.

Besides investor preferences regarding the different election types and frequencies, director opposition by shareholders has increased over the past couple of years. The 2022 proxy voting report by Semler Brossy showed that the percentage of directors from Russel 3000 companies receiving less than 95% support rates from investors has increased from 22% five years ago to 30% in 2022. Insufficient board independence, gender diversity concerns or potential overcommitment, have been standard drivers of voting Against a director's election. However, nowadays shareholders use the election of board directors to signal discontent around broader topics like environmental and social concerns.

#### Disclaimer

Robeco Institutional Asset Management B.V. ('Robeco') distributes voting reports as a service to its clients and other interested parties. Robeco also uses these reports to demonstrate its compliance with the principles and best practices of the Tabaksblat Code which are relevant to Robeco. Although Robeco compiles these reports with utmost care on the basis of several internal and external sources which are deemed to be reliable, Robeco cannot guarantee the completeness, correctness or timeliness of this information. Nor can Robeco guarantee that the use of this information will lead to the right analyses, results and/or that this information is suitable for specific purposes. Robeco can therefore never be held responsible for issues such as, but not limited to, possible omissions, inaccuracies and/or changes made at a later stage. Without written prior consent from Robeco you are not allowed to use this report for any purpose other than the specific one for which it was compiled by Robeco.

This page is intentionally left blank